UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,118	02/04/2004	Paul V. Cooper	23438.00043	3988
	7590 07/27/200 DERS & DEMPSEY L.		EXAMINER	
TWO RENAISSANCE SQUARE, 40 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE			KASTLER, SCOTT R	
	SUITE 2700 PHOENIX, AZ 85004-4498		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/773,118	COOPER, PAUL V.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Scott Kastler	1793		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 ⊆ 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,11-13 and 15-25 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 19-25 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8,9,11-13 and 15-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	e withdrawn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and a composition and a composition to the separatement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct an	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/1/2009 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-7 and 19-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/20/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8, 9, 1-13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,330,328 to Cooper (Cooper'328). Cooper'328 teaches at col. 7 lines 3-18 and figures 1 and 15 for example, a molten metal pump (10) including a superstructure (262) where support posts (24)

of substantially equal height (see fig. 1 for example) support the superstructure and where the support posts comprise a first portion with a narrower first width which extends through an opening in the superstructure and is secured thereto by means of a clamp and throughbolt system (30) in fig. 1 as well as the embodiment of fig. 15 for example) and a second portion with a wider width and stepped section (meeting the requirement of a top surface) which is situated below and in contact with the superstructure, thereby at least partially supporting the superstructure on a top surface of the second portion, thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper'328. As applied to claim 8 above, Cooper'328 shows all aspects of the above claims except the provision that the throughbolt hole have a diameter of greater than 1/32" more than the throughbolt. However, with respect to the throughbolt hole size, since the throughbolt system of Cooper'328 and that of the above claims operate in substantially the same manner with substantially the same results, motivation to employ any equally useful throughbolt hole diameter, as long as it is large enough to receive the throughbolt, as also required by Cooper'328 would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made. Since it has been well settled that motivation to alter the size or shape of a component (the throughbolt hole) shown by the prior art without materially altering the operation of the component or apparatus, would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. See MPEP 2144.04 IV A and B.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see the response and RCE, filed 7/1/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 8, 9, 11-13 and 15-18 under 35 USC 102 and 103 over Lehman'286 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cooper'328. It is noted by the Examiner that Cooper'328 had previously been applied and then withdrawn due to applicant's amendments changing the scope of the claims to recite that the support posts support the superstructure with a top surface of the post (see the amendment filed on 10/31/2008). However, the amendment filed on 3/16/2009 again altered the scope of the claims so that the support posts do not now support the superstructure by a general top surface, but rather at least partially (which is met by any contact) by a top surface of a second, lower portion of the posts, which is exactly how Cooper'328 supports the superstructure (262).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 1793

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott Kastler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

sk